

Chaconne (Chaconne de Galatée) *Acis et Galatée, 1686*

GB-Lbl MS. Add. 39569 (Babell), no. 97, p. 70
LWV 73/32

Lentement

Musical notation for measures 1-6. The score is in treble and bass clefs, with a key signature of one sharp (F#) and a 3/4 time signature. The music features a slow tempo and includes various rhythmic values such as quarter notes, eighth notes, and sixteenth notes, along with rests and accidentals.

© David Chung, 2014

Musical notation for measures 7-12. The score continues with similar rhythmic patterns and includes a fermata over a measure in the bass line.

Musical notation for measures 13-18. The score continues with similar rhythmic patterns and includes a fermata over a measure in the bass line.

Musical notation for measures 19-24. The score continues with similar rhythmic patterns and includes a fermata over a measure in the bass line.

24

Musical score for measures 24-28. The piece is in G major (one sharp) and 3/4 time. The right hand features a melodic line with grace notes and slurs, while the left hand provides a rhythmic accompaniment with chords and moving lines.

29

Musical score for measures 29-33. The right hand continues the melodic theme with grace notes and slurs. The left hand maintains the accompaniment pattern.

34

Musical score for measures 34-37. The right hand has a more active melodic line with grace notes. The left hand accompaniment remains consistent.

38

Musical score for measures 38-41. The right hand features a melodic phrase with grace notes and slurs. The left hand accompaniment concludes the section.

Source

GB-Lbl: London, British Library, MS Add. 39569 (London, 1702).

Thirty-three Lully pieces entered by Charles Babel (ca. 1634–1716) whose hand is neat and consistent. Same hand as that in *Tenbury*.

The Lully pieces are sequenced into Babel's compiled "suittes," a term evidently taken from Dieupart's *Six Suittes de clavessin* (Amsterdam, 1701), from which twenty-three pieces were copied into *Babell*. Each key group is carefully designated "suite" with a number. Thirteen (out of 29) of the suites contain Lully arrangements, and seven use the overture as an opening movement (nos. 7, 15, 17, 21, 23, 26, and 27). On the basis of concordances, it appears that many of the arrangements in *Babell* also circulated in central Parisian sources. Gustafson brings up the possibility that Babel, rather than making his own arrangements, could have assembled his Lully arrangements from sources that also contain the remainder of his Parisian repertory.

Literature: Barry A.R. Cooper, *English Solo Keyboard Music of the Middle and Late Baroque*. D.Phil. diss. (Oxford University, 1974; reprinted, New York: Garland, 1989), 459; Gustafson 1979, 1:68–73, 2:187–221; *London, British Library MS Add. 39569, 17th-Century Keyboard Music* 19, facsimile edition, introduction by Bruce Gustafson (New York: Garland, 1987); Gustafson-Fuller 1990, 355, 372–3; Bruce Gustafson, "The Legacy of Instrumental Music of Charles Babel, Prolific Transcriber of Lully's Music," in *Jean-Baptiste Lully: Actes du colloque Saint-Germain-en-Laye, Heidelberg 1987*, ed. Jérôme de La Gorce and Herbert Schneider (Laaber: Laaber-Verlag, 1990), 495–516; Bruce Gustafson, *Die Musik in Geschichte und Gegenwart*, 2nd ed., ed. Ludwig Finsher (Kassel: Bärenreiter, 2000), s.v. "Babel, Charles (1)"; Peter Holman, "Did Handel Invent the English Keyboard Concerto?" *The Musical Times* 144, no. 1883 (Summer 2003): 13–22.

Edition: Harris 2009, one piece (no. 131); Chung 2004, six pieces (nos. 128, 130, 131, 152, 243, 263); WLSCM, twenty-seven pieces (nos. 57, 97, 120, 123–4, 129, 132–6, 138, 143, 154, 182, 199, 209, 217–8, 231, 233–5, 258, 268–9, 280).

Performance Notes

In the seventeenth century, the notation is under-prescriptive by modern standard and some elements of the performance may only be partially indicated or not indicated at all. Generally speaking, the performer has the discretion to supply in performance much that is not indicated in the source, such as by adding ornaments as well as melodic and rhythmic fillers, by spreading chords in a variety of ways, by embellishing the cadences, and sometimes even by enriching the texture with points of imitation. The modern performer can take lessons from D'Anglebert, who re-edited a selection of his autograph pieces for publication in 1689.¹ The performance attitude and elusive nature of the seventeenth-century French repertory has been exhaustively discussed by David Fuller, Ronald Broude, Bruce Gustafson, and

1. See Chung, "Lully, D'Anglebert and the Transmission of 17th-Century French Harpsichord Music," 586–92.

